1	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP		
2	KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN # 121570 kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com	ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065 rvannest@kvn.com		
3	ANNETTE L. HURST # 148738 ahurst@orrick.com	CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325 canderson@kvn.com		
4	GABRIEL M. RAMSEY # 209218 gramsey@orrick.com	DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424 dpurcell@kvn.com		
5	405 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105-2669	633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809		
	Tel: (415) 773-5700/Fax: (415) 773-5759	Telephone: (415) 391-5400		
6	PETER A. BICKS (pro hac vice)	Facsimile: (415) 397-7188		
7	pbicks@orrick.com LISA T. SIMPSON (pro hac vice)	KING & SPALDING LLP BRUCE W. BABER (pro hac vice)		
8	lsimpson@orrick.com 51 West 52 nd Street	bbaber@kslaw.com 1180 Peachtree Street, N.E.		
9	New York, New York 10019-6142	Atlanta, Georgia 30309		
10	Tel: (212) 506-5000/Fax: (212) 506-5151	Telephone: (404) 572-4600 Facsimile: (404) 572-5100		
11	ORACLE CORPORATION DORIAN DALEY # 129049	Attorneys For Defendant		
12	dorian.daley@oracle.com DEBORAH K. MILLER # 95527	GOOGLE INC.		
	deborah.miller@oracle.com			
13	MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA # 211600 matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com			
14	RUCHIKA AGRAWAL # 246058 ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com			
15	500 Oracle Parkway Redwood City, CA 94065			
16	Tel: (650) 506-5200/Fax: (650) 506-7117			
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
18	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.			
19				
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
22	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA		
	Plaintiff,	JOINT SUBMISSION RE: RULINGS IN		
23	ŕ	LIMINE FROM FIRST TRIAL		
24	V.	Trial: May 9, 2016		
25	GOOGLE INC.,	Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19 th Fl. Judge: Hon. William Alsup		
26	Defendant.			
27		1		
28				

4

6

9

12

11

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

JOINT SUBMISSION REGARDING RULINGS IN LIMINE FROM THE FIRST TRIAL

Pursuant to the Court's Order (ECF No. 1764), the parties file this summary list of all motions in limine rulings from the first trial that the parties agree have any realistic chance of resurrection at this trial. The parties agree that the Court's prior rulings from the first trial on this list are subject to the Court's rulings in this trial.

- 1. Court's Order denying Google's Motion In Limine No. 1 to exclude Lindholm email and drafts, but allowing Counsel to explain email subject to the Court's ruling on Oracle's Motion in Limine 5, ECF No. 492 (Google Mot.); ECF No. 492-1 (Oracle Opp.). See ECF No. 676 (Omnibus Order on Motions in Limine for Pretrial Conference) at 1-2.
- 2. Court's Order granting Oracle's Motion In Limine No. 2 to exclude evidence or argument by either side that Google relied on legal advice in making its decision to develop and release Android without a specific proffer and approval by the Court in advance, ECF No. 663 (Oracle Mot.); ECF No. 663-1 (Google Opp.). See ECF No. 676 (Omnibus Order on Motions in Limine for Pretrial Conference) at 6.
- 3. Court's Order granting, in part, and denying, in part, Oracle's Motion In Limine No. 4 to exclude evidence or argument regarding Sun/Oracle's past actions with Application Programming Interfaces, including the 1994 congressional testimony of Eric Schmidt, ECF No. 665 (Oracle Mot.), ECF No. 665-1 (Google Opp.). See ECF No. 676 (Omnibus Order on Motions in Limine for Pretrial Conference) at 6-7.
- 4. Court's Order granting, in part, and denying, in part, Oracle's Motion In Limine No. 5 to exclude evidence and argument regarding information withheld as privileged in connection with the Lindholm email, ECF No. 676 (Oracle Mot.); ECF No 667-1 (Google Opp.). See ECF No. 676 (Omnibus Order on Motions in Limine for Pretrial Conference) at 7.

Ī	Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA	Document 1819	Filed 05/04/16 Page 3 of 4
1			
2	Dated: May 4, 2016		ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
3			SUTCLIFFE LLP
4		By:	
5			KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN ANNETTE L. HURST
6			GABRIEL M. RAMSEY PETER A. BICKS
7			LISA T. SIMPSON
8			Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
9	Dated: May 4, 2016		KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
10			
11		By:	/s/ Robert A. Van Nest ROBERT A. VAN NEST
12			CHRISTA M. ANDERSON DANIEL PURCELL
13			Attorneys For Defendant GOOGLE INC.
14 15			GOOGLE INC.
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	
	JOINT SUBMISSION RE RULINGS IN LIMINE FROM THE FIRST TRIAL		

ATTESTATION I, Andrew D. Silverman, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file the JOINT SUBMISSION RE: RULINGS IN LIMINE FROM FIRST TRIAL. I hereby attest that Robert A. Van Nest, one of the counsel for Google Inc., has concurred in this filing. Date: May 4, 2016 /s/ Andrew D. Silverman